arXiv:math-ph/0003032v1 26 Mar 2000

Universal Similarity Factorization Equalities over Real Clifford Algebras

Yongge Tian
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6
`e-mail:ytian@mast.queensu.ca`

Abstract. A variety of universal similarity factorization equalities over real Clifford algebras $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ are established. On the basis of these equalities, real, complex and quaternion matrix representations of elements in $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ can be explicitly determined.

Key words: Clifford algebras; similarity factorization equalities; matrix representations.
AMS subject classifications: 15A66, 15A23.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to establish universal similarity factorization equalities between elements of real Clifford algebras $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ and matrices with elements in $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ stand for real number field, complex number field and quaternion skew field, respectively. A direct motivation for considering this problem comes from the following basic universal similarity factorization equality for complex numbers:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a+bi & 0 \\ 0 & a-bi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

This equality clearly reveals three fundamental facts on the field of complex numbers

(a) $\mathcal{C}$ is algebraically isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, a,\ b \in \mathcal{R} \right\}$ through the bijective map $\phi : a+bi \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$.

(b) Every complex number $p = a+bi$ has a faithful matrix representation $\phi(p) = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ over the real number field $\mathcal{R}$.

(c) All real matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ can uniformly be diagonalized over the complex number field $\mathcal{C}$.

Following Eq.(1.1), a natural equation can directly be asked: Can we extend Eq.(1.1) to any real Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$? The answer to this question is positive. In this paper, we shall present a set of general methods for establishing such kinds of universal similarity factorization equalities over all real Clifford algebras $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$.

As is well known, the real Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ is an associative algebra, with identity 1, defined on $p+q=n$ generators $e_1,\ e_2,\ \cdots,\ e_n$ subject to the multiplication laws

$$e_i^2 = \begin{cases} +1 & for\ i = 1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ p, \\ -1 & for\ i = p+1,\ p+2,\ \cdots,\ p+q=n, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

$$e_ie_j + e_je_i = 0 \qquad for\ \ i \neq j, \qquad i,\ j = 1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ n. \tag{1.3}$$

and $e_1e_2\cdots e_n \neq \pm 1$. In that case $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ is spanned as a 2^n-dimensional vector space with a basis $\{e_A\}$, where the multiindex A ranges all naturally ordered subsets of the first positive integer set $\{1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ n\}$; the basis element e_A, where $A = (\, i_1,\ i_2,\ \cdots,\ i_k\,)$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$, is defined as the product

$$e_A = e_{(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k)} \equiv e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}.$$

In particular, $e_A = 1$, when $A = \emptyset$. For simplicity, a brief notation $e_{[n]} = e_1e_2\cdots e_n$ will be adopted in the sequel. The square of $e_{[n]}$ is

$$e_{[n]}^2 = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}e_1^2e_2^2\cdots e_n^2.$$

Any element $a \in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ can be expressed as

$$a = \sum_A a_Ae_A, \qquad a_A \in \mathcal{R},$$

where A ranges all naturally ordered subsets of $\{1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ n\}$. We shall also adopt the following notation in the sequel

$$\mathcal{R}_{p,q} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_p,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_q \mid e_i^2 = 1,\ \ \varepsilon_j^2 = -1,\ \ i = 1,\ \cdots,\ p,\ \ j = 1,\ \cdots,\ q\,\},$$

or simply

$$\mathcal{R}_{p,q} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_p,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_q\,\},$$

and use

$$\mathcal{R}_{p,q}^{m\times n} = \mathcal{R}^{m\times n}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_p,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_q\,\}$$

to stand for the collection of all $m \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$.

As to the algebraic structure of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=n$, it is well-known(see, e.g., [1], [8], [9], [11]) that $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ satisfies the following algebraic isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{R}_{p,q} \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{R}(2^{n/2}) & if\ q-p \equiv 0,\ 6 \pmod 8, \\ \mathcal{C}(2^{(n-1)/2}) & if\ q-p \equiv 1,\ 5 \pmod 8, \\ \mathcal{H}(2^{(n-2)/2}) & if\ q-p \equiv 2,\ 4 \pmod 8, \\ {}^2\mathcal{H}(2^{(n-3)/2}) & if\ q-p \equiv 3 \pmod 8, \\ {}^2\mathcal{R}(2^{(n-3)/2}) & if\ q-p \equiv 7 \pmod 8, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathcal{R}(s),\ \mathcal{C}(s),\ \mathcal{H}(s)$ stand for the matrix algebras $\mathcal{R}^{s\times s},\ \mathcal{C}^{s\times s},\ \mathcal{H}^{s\times s}$, respectively, and ${}^2\mathcal{R}(s),\ {}^2\mathcal{H}(s)$ stand for the matrix algebras

$${}^2\mathcal{R}(s) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, A,\ B \in \mathcal{R}^{s\times s} \right\}, \quad {}^2\mathcal{H}(s) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, A,\ B \in \mathcal{H}^{s\times s} \right\}.$$

For some low values of p and q, Eq.(1.4) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{R}_{1,0} \simeq {}^2\mathcal{R}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{0,1} = \mathcal{C}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,0} \simeq \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{1,1} \simeq \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{0,2} = \mathcal{H}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{3,0} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{2\times 2}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{2,1} \simeq {}^2\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{1,2} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{2\times 2}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{0,3} \simeq {}^2\mathcal{H}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{4,0} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{3,1} \simeq \mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{2,2} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{4\times 4}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{1,3} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{0,4} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}. \tag{1.8}$$

In addition, according to the periodicity theorem on Clifford algebras(see, e.g., [3] and [11]), it is also well-known that

$$\mathcal{R}_{p+8,q} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{p,q}^{16\times 16}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{p,q+8} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{p,q}^{16\times 16} \tag{1.9}$$

hold for all finite p and q.

The isomorphisms listed above imply that there exists a one-to-one correspondence, preserving algebraic operations, between elements of $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ and matrices with elements in $\mathcal{R}$ or $\mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{H}$, what we shall do in the present paper is to explicitly establish universal similarity factorization equalities between elements of $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ and matrices with elements in $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{H}$.

A key tool used in the sequel is given below.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebra over an arbitrary field $\mathcal{F}$, and let $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ be the $n\times n$ total matrix algebra with elements in $\mathcal{A}$, and with its basis $\{\tau_{ij}\}$ satisfying the multiplication rules*

$$\tau_{ij}\tau_{st} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tau_{it} & j = s, \\ 0 & j \neq s, \end{array} \right. \qquad for \ \ i, \ j, \ s, \ t = 1, \ 2, \ \cdots, \ n. \tag{1.10}$$

Then any $a = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\tau_{ij} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$, where $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$, satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P \begin{bmatrix} a & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a \end{bmatrix} P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.11}$$

where P has the following independent form

$$P = P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{11} & \tau_{21} & \cdots & \tau_{n1} \\ \tau_{12} & \tau_{22} & \cdots & \tau_{n2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \tau_{1n} & \tau_{2n} & \cdots & \tau_{nn} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.12}$$

The correctness of this result can directly be verified by multiplying the left-hand side of Eq.(1.11). The significance of this result is in that if an algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is known to be algebraically isomorphic to an $n\times n$ total matrix algebra over $\mathcal{A}$, then there exists an independent invertible matrix P over $\mathcal{M}$ such that $P(aI_n)P^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}^{n\times n}$ holds for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$. The most part of the results in the paper are established through this basic identity.

2. UNIVERSAL SIMILARITY EQUALITIES OVER $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ WITH $p+q \leq 8$

This section is divided into eight subsections. In the first four of which, we present the universal similarity factorization equalities over $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ corresponding to $p+q \leq 4$ with proofs, and then list the universal similarity factorization equalities over $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ corresponding to $5 < p+q \leq 8$.

2.1. The Cases $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=1$

The two algebras $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ corresponding to $p+q=1$ are $\mathcal{R}_{1,0}$, the hyperbolic numbers, and $\mathcal{R}_{0,1}$, the complex numbers, respectively. The two fundamental universal similarity factorization equalities over them are very simple but crucial for the subsequent results.

Theorem 2.1.1. *Let* $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{1,0}$ *be given, where* $a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R},\ e_1^2 = 1$, *and denote its conjugate* $\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1e_1$. *Then* a *and* $\overline{a}$ *satisfy the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{1,0}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{a} \end{bmatrix}P_{1,0}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0+a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0-a_1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{1,0}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{R}, \tag{2.1.1}$$

where $P_{1,0}$ *and* $P_{1,0}^{-1}$ *have the independent forms* (*no relation with* a)

$$P_{1,0} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1+e_1 & -(1-e_1) \\ 1-e_1 & 1+e_1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad P_{1,0}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1+e_1 & 1-e_1 \\ -(1-e_1) & 1+e_1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.1.2}$$

Proof. Let $s = 1+e_1$. Then $\overline{s} = 1-e_1$, and both of them satisfy $s^2 = 2s,\ \overline{s}^2 = 2\overline{s}$ and $s\overline{s} = \overline{s}s = 0$. Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,0}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{a} \end{bmatrix}P_{1,0}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} s & -\overline{s} \\ \overline{s} & s \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{a} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} s & \overline{s} \\ -\overline{s} & s \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} sas+\overline{s}\,\overline{a}\,\overline{s} & sa\overline{s}-\overline{s}\,\overline{a}s \\ \overline{s}as & \overline{s}a\overline{s}+s\overline{a}s \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} as^2+\overline{a}\,\overline{s}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a\overline{s}^2+\overline{a}s^2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} as+\overline{a}\,\overline{s} & 0 \\ 0 & a\overline{s}+\overline{a}s \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the two nonzero terms in it are

$$as+\overline{a}\,\overline{s} = (a_0+a_1e_1)(1+e_1)+(a_0-a_1e_1)(1-e_1) = 2(a_0+a_1),$$

$$a\overline{s}+\overline{a}s = (a_0+a_1e_1)(1-e_1)+(a_0-a_1e_1)(1+e_1) = 2(a_0-a_1).$$

Hence we have Eq.(2.1.1). □

It is easily seen that

$$\overline{\overline{a}} = a, \qquad \overline{a+b} = \overline{a}+\overline{b}, \qquad \overline{ab} = \overline{a}\overline{b}, \qquad \overline{\lambda a} = \overline{a\lambda} = \lambda\overline{a}$$

hold for all $a,\ b \in \mathcal{R}_{1,0},\ \lambda \in \mathcal{R}$. Thus by Eq.(2.1.1) it follows that

(a) $a = b \iff \phi_{1,0}(a) = \phi_{1,0}(b)$.
(b) $\phi_{1,0}(a+b) = \phi_{1,0}(a)+\phi_{1,0}(b), \qquad \phi_{1,0}(ab) = \phi_{1,0}(a)\phi_{1,0}(b), \qquad \phi_{1,0}(\lambda a) = \lambda\phi_{1,0}(a)$.
(c) $\phi_{1,0}(1) = I_2$.

(d) $a = \frac{1}{4}[\,1 + e_1,\ 1 - e_1\,]\phi_{1,0}(a)[\,1 + e_1,\ 1 - e_1\,]^T$.
(e) $\det[\phi_{1,0}(a)] = a_0^2 - a_1^2$ for all $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{1,0}$.
(f) a is invertible $\Longleftrightarrow \phi_{1,0}(a)$ is invertible, in which case, $\phi_{1,0}(a^{-1}) = \phi_{1,0}^{-1}(a)$.
(g) $p_a(a) = 0$, where $p_a(\lambda) = \det[\,\lambda I_2 - \phi_{1,0}(a)\,]$.

These properties imply that through the bijective map

$$\phi_{1,0}:\ a \in \mathcal{R}_{1,0} \longrightarrow \phi_{1,0}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{R},$$

the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{1,0}$ is algebraically isomorphic to the matrix algebra ${}^2\mathcal{R}$, and $\phi_{1,0}(a)$ is a matrix representation of $a \in \mathcal{R}_{1,0}$ in ${}^2\mathcal{R}$.

As to the algebra $\mathcal{R}_{0,1} = \mathcal{C}$, the following result is quite easy to verify .

Theorem 2.1.2. *Let $a = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{0,1} = \mathcal{C}$, where $a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}$, $\varepsilon_1^2 = -1$, and denote $\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1\varepsilon_1$. Then a and $\overline{a}$ satisfy the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{0,1}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{a} \end{bmatrix}P_{0,1}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & -a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{0,1}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.1.3}$$

where $P_{0,1}$ has the independent form

$$P_{0,1} = P_{0,1}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon_1 \\ -\varepsilon_1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.1.4}$$

Through the bijective map $\phi_{0,1} : a \longrightarrow \phi_{0,1}(a)$, the algebra $\mathcal{R}_{0,1}$ is algebraically isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & -a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R} \right\}$.

The two equalities in Eqs.(2.1.1) and (2.1.4) can be extended to all matrices over $\mathcal{R}_{1,0}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0,1}$ as follows.

Theorem 2.1.3. *Let $A = A_0 + A_1e_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{1,0}^{m\times n}$, where $A_0,\ A_1 \in \mathcal{R}^{m\times n}$ and $e_1^2 = 1$. Then A and its conjugate $\overline{A} = A_0 - A_1e_1$ satisfy the following universal factorization equality*

$$Q_{2m}\begin{bmatrix} A & O \\ O & \overline{A} \end{bmatrix}Q_{2n}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 + A_1 & O \\ O & A_0 - A_1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \Phi_{1,0}(A) \in {}^2\mathcal{R}^{m\times n}, \tag{2.1.5}$$

where

$$Q_{2m} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1 + e_1)I_m & -(1 - e_1)I_m \\ (1 - e_1)I_m & (1 + e_1)I_m \end{bmatrix}, \qquad Q_{2n}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1 + e_1)I_n & (1 - e_1)I_n \\ -(1 - e_1)I_n & (1 + e_1)I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, when $m = n$, Eq.(2.1.5) becomes a universal similarity factorization equality over $\mathcal{R}_{1,0}$.

Theorem 2.1.4. *Let $A = A_0 + A_1\varepsilon_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{0,1}^{m\times n} = \mathcal{C}^{m\times n}$, where $A_0,\ A_1 \in \mathcal{R}^{m\times n}$, $\varepsilon_1^2 = -1$. Then A and its conjugate $\overline{A} = A_0 - A_1\varepsilon_1$ satisfy the following universal factorization equality*

$$K_{2m}\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A} \end{bmatrix}K_{2n}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & -A_1 \\ A_1 & A_0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \Phi_{0,1}(A) \in \mathcal{R}^{2m\times 2n}, \tag{2.1.6}$$

where

$$K_{2t} = K_{2t}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_t & \varepsilon_1 I_t \\ -\varepsilon_1 I_t & -I_t \end{bmatrix}, \qquad t = m,\ n.$$

In particular, when $m = n$, *Eq.*(2.1.6) *becomes a universal similarity factorization equality over* $\mathcal{R}_{0,1} = \mathcal{C}$.

2.2. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p + q = 2$

The three algebraic isomorphisms for $\in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p + q = 2$ are shown in Eq.(1.6). Based on Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 2.1.1, we can establish universal similarity factorization equalities over them as follows.

Theorem 2.2.1. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2 \mid e_1^2 = 1,\ e_2^2 = 1\}$. *Then* a *can factor as* $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_{12}$, *where* a_0—$a_3 \in \mathcal{R}$, *and* aI_2 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{2,0} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} P_{2,0}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1 & a_2 + a_3 \\ a_2 - a_3 & a_0 - a_1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{2,0}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.2.1}$$

where $P_{2,0}$ *has the independent form*

$$P_{2,0} = P_{2,0}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + e_1 & e_2 - e_{12} \\ e_2 + e_{12} & 1 - e_1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.2.2}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, we take the change of basis of $\mathcal{R}_{2,0}$ as follows

$$\tau_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1), \quad \tau_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(e_2 + e_{12}), \quad \tau_{21} = \frac{1}{2}(e_2 - e_{12}), \quad \tau_{22} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e_1). \tag{2.2.3}$$

Then it is not difficult to verify that this new basis satisfies the multiplication laws in Eq.(1.10). In that case, every $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_{12} \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0}$ can be rewritten as

$$a = (a_0 + a_1)\tau_{11} + (a_2 + a_3)\tau_{12} + (a_2 - a_3)\tau_{21} + (a_0 - a_1)\tau_{22}. \tag{2.2.4}$$

Substituting Eqs.(2.2.3) and (2.2.4) into Eqs.(1.11) and (1.12), we directly obtain Eqs.(2.2.1) and (2.2.2). $\square$

It is easily seen from Eq.(2.2.1) that for all $a,\ b \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0},\ \lambda \in \mathcal{R}$, the following operation properties hold

(a) $a = b \iff \phi_{2,0}(a) = \phi_{2,0}(b)$.
(b) $\phi_{2,0}(a + b) = \phi_{2,0}(a) + \phi_{2,0}(b), \quad \phi_{2,0}(ab) = \phi_{2,0}(a)\phi_{2,0}(b), \quad \phi_{2,0}(\lambda a) = \lambda\phi_{2,0}(a)$.
(c) $\phi_{2,0}(1) = I_2$.
(d) $a = \frac{1}{4}[\,1 + e_1,\ e_2 - e_{12}\,]\phi_{2,0}(a)[\,1 + e_1,\ e_2 - e_{12}\,]^T$.
(e) $\det[\phi_{2,0}(a)] = a_0^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2 + a_3^2$, for all $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_{12} \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0}$.
(f) a is invertible $\iff \phi_{2,0}(a)$ is invertible, in which case, $\phi_{2,0}(a^{-1}) = \phi_{2,0}^{-1}(a)$.
(g) $p_a(a) = 0$, where $p_a(x) = \det[xI_2 - \phi_{2,0}(a)]$.

(h) a is similar to b over $\mathcal{R}_{0,2}$, i.e., there is an invertible $x \in \mathcal{R}_{0,2}$ such that $xax^{-1} = b$ if and only if $\phi_{2,0}(a)$ and $\phi_{2,0}(b)$ are similar over $\mathcal{R}$.

These properties show that through the bijective map $\phi_{2,0} : a \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0} \longrightarrow \phi_{2,0}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$, the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{2,0}$ is algebraically isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$, and $\phi_{2,0}(a)$ is the matrix representation of a in $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$.

Theorem 2.2.2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{1,1} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1, \ \varepsilon_1 \mid e_1^2 = 1, \ \varepsilon_1^2 = -1\}$, the split quaternion algebra. Then a can factor as $a = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 \varepsilon_1 + a_3 e_1 \varepsilon_1$, where a_0—$a_3 \in \mathcal{R}$, and aI_2 satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{1,1} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} P_{1,1}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1 & -(a_2 + a_3) \\ a_2 - a_3 & a_0 - a_1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{1,1}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.2.5}$$

where $P_{1,1}$ has the independent form

$$P_{1,1} = P_{1,1}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + e_1 & \varepsilon_1 - e_1\varepsilon_1 \\ -(\varepsilon_1 + e_1\varepsilon_1) & 1 - e_1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.2.6}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, we take the change of basis of $\mathcal{R}_{1,1}$ as follows

$$\tau_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_1), \quad \tau_{12} = -\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + e_1\varepsilon_1), \quad \tau_{21} = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - e_1\varepsilon_1), \quad \tau_{22} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e_1). \tag{2.2.7}$$

Then it is not difficult to verify that this new basis satisfies the multiplication laws in (1.10). In that case, every $a = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2\varepsilon_1 + a_3 e_1\varepsilon_1, \in \mathcal{R}_{1,1}$ can be rewritten as

$$a = (a_0 + a_1)\tau_{11} - (a_2 + a_3)\tau_{12} + (a_2 - a_3)\tau_{21} + (a_0 - a_1)\tau_{22}. \tag{2.2.8}$$

Substituting Eqs.(2.2.7) and (2.2.8) into Eqs.(1.11) and (1.12), we directly obtain Eqs.(2.2.5) and (2.2.6). □

Similarly it is easy to verify that through the bijective map $\phi_{1,1} : a \in \mathcal{R}_{1,1} \longrightarrow \phi_{1,1}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$, the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{1,1}$ is algebraically isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$, and $\phi_{1,1}(a)$ is the matrix representation of a in $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$.

As to the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{0,2} = \mathcal{H}$, the ordinary quaternion division algebra, we have the following two results.

Theorem 2.2.3. *Let $a = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1 + a_2\varepsilon_2 + a_3\varepsilon_{12} \in \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R}\{\varepsilon_1, \ \varepsilon_2 \mid \varepsilon_1^2 = -1, \ \varepsilon_2^2 = -1\}$, where a_0—$a_3 \in \mathcal{R}$. Then aI_2 satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{0,2} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} P_{0,2}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1 & -(a_2 + a_3\varepsilon_1) \\ a_2 - a_3\varepsilon_1 & a_0 - a_1\varepsilon_1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{0,2}(a) \in \mathcal{C}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.2.9}$$

where $P_{0,2}$ has the independent form

$$P_{0,2} = P_{0,2}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\varepsilon_1 \\ -\varepsilon_2 & \varepsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.2.10}$$

Proof. Note that $a - \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_1 = 2(a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1)$ and $a + \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_1 = 2(a_2\varepsilon_2 + a_3\varepsilon_{12})$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} P_{0,2}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} P_{0,2}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} a - \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_1 & a\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_{12} \\ -\varepsilon_2 a + \varepsilon_{12} a \varepsilon_1 & -(\varepsilon_2 a \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_{12} a \varepsilon_{12}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} a - \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_1 & (a + \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_1)\varepsilon_2 \\ -\varepsilon_2(a + \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_1) & -\varepsilon_2(a - \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_1)\varepsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1 & (a_2\varepsilon_2 + a_3\varepsilon_{12})\varepsilon_2 \\ -\varepsilon_2(a_2\varepsilon_2 + a_3\varepsilon_{12}) & -\varepsilon_2(a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1)\varepsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1 & -(a_2 + a_3\varepsilon_1) \\ a_2 - a_3\varepsilon_1 & a_0 - a_1\varepsilon_1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the desired result. □

Theorem 2.2.4. *Let* $a = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1 + a_2\varepsilon_2 + a_3\varepsilon_{12} \in \mathcal{H}$, *where* a_0—$a_3 \in \mathcal{R}$. *Then* aI_4 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$Q_{0,2}\begin{bmatrix} a & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & a & \\ & & & a \end{bmatrix} Q_{0,2}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & -a_3 \\ a_1 & a_0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_0 & -a_1 \\ a_3 & -a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \varphi_{0,2}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}, \tag{2.2.11}$$

where $Q_{0,2}$ *has the independent form*

$$Q_{0,2} = Q_{0,2}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon_1 & \varepsilon_2 & \varepsilon_{12} \\ -\varepsilon_1 & 1 & \varepsilon_{12} & -\varepsilon_2 \\ -\varepsilon_2 & -\varepsilon_{12} & 1 & \varepsilon_1 \\ -\varepsilon_{12} & \varepsilon_2 & -\varepsilon_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.2.12}$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 + x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 - x_1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2.13}$$

holds for any $x_0,\ x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$. On the basis of (2.2.13), we further obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_1 & x_0 & x_3 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_0 & x_1 \\ x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & x_0 \end{bmatrix} = V\begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & d_2 & & \\ & & d_3 & \\ & & & d_4 \end{bmatrix} V, \tag{2.2.14}$$

where $x_0,\ x_1,\ x_2,\ x_3 \in \mathcal{H}$, and

$$d_1 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \qquad d_2 = x_0 + x_1 - x_2 - x_3,$$

$$d_3 = x_0 - x_1 + x_2 - x_3, \qquad d_4 = x_0 - x_1 - x_2 + x_3,$$

$$V = V^T = V^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Replacing x_0—x_3 in Eq.(2.2.14) now by $a_0,\ a_1\varepsilon_1,\ a_2\varepsilon_2$ and $a_3\varepsilon_{12}$, respectively, we obtain

$$A \equiv \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1\varepsilon_1 & a_2\varepsilon_2 & a_3\varepsilon_{12} \\ a_1\varepsilon_1 & a_0 & a_3\varepsilon_{12} & a_2\varepsilon_2 \\ a_2\varepsilon_2 & a_3\varepsilon_{12} & a_0 & a_1\varepsilon_1 \\ a_3\varepsilon_{12} & a_2\varepsilon_2 & a_1\varepsilon_1 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} = V \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & d_2 & & \\ & & d_3 & \\ & & & d_4 \end{bmatrix} V, \tag{2.2.15}$$

where

$$d_1 = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1 + a_2\varepsilon_2 + a_3\varepsilon_{12} = a, \qquad d_2 = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_1 - a_2\varepsilon_2 - a_3\varepsilon_{12},$$

$$d_3 = a_0 - a_1\varepsilon_1 + a_2\varepsilon_2 - a_3\varepsilon_{12}, \qquad d_4 = a_0 - a_1\varepsilon_1 - a_2\varepsilon_2 + a_3\varepsilon_{12}.$$

It is easy to verify that $d_2 = \varepsilon_1 a \varepsilon_1^{-1},\ d_3 = \varepsilon_2 a \varepsilon_2^{-1}$ and $d_4 = \varepsilon_{12} a \varepsilon_{12}^{-1}$. Thus

$$\mathrm{diag}(\, d_1,\ d_2,\ d_3,\ d_4\,) = J\mathrm{diag}(\, a,\ a,\ a,\ a\,)J^{-1}, \tag{2.2.16}$$

where $J = \mathrm{diag}(\, 1,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_{12}\,)$. On the other hand, it is easy to verify that

$$J^{-1}AJ = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_1 & a_2\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_2 & a_3\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{12} \\ a_1\varepsilon_1^{-1}\varepsilon_1 & a_0\varepsilon_1^{-1}\varepsilon_1 & a_3\varepsilon_1^{-1}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_2 & a_2\varepsilon_1^{-1}\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_{12} \\ a_2\varepsilon_2^{-1}\varepsilon_2 & a_3\varepsilon_2^{-1}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_1 & a_0\varepsilon_2^{-1}\varepsilon_2 & a_1\varepsilon_2^{-1}\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_{12} \\ a_3\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}\varepsilon_{12} & a_2\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_1 & a_1\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 & a_0\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}\varepsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & -a_3 \\ a_1 & a_0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_0 & -a_1 \\ a_3 & -a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} = \phi(a).$$

Putting Eqs.(2.2.15) and (2.2.16) in it yields

$$\phi(a) = J^{-1}AJ = J^{-1}V\mathrm{diag}(\, d_1,\ d_2,\ d_3,\ d_4\,)VJ = (J^{-1}VJ)\mathrm{diag}(\, a,\ a,\ a,\ a\,)(J^{-1}VJ).$$

Let $P = J^{-1}VJ$. Then we have Eqs.(2.2.11) and (2.2.12). □

Just as the results in Theorems 2.1.3 and 2.1.4, the universal similarity factorization equalities in Theorems 2.2.1—2.2.4 can also be extend to all matrices over $\mathcal{R}_{2,0}$, $\mathcal{R}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0,2}$, respectively. We leave them to the reader.

2.3. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=3$

According to the multiplication laws in Eqs.(1.2) and (1.3) we know that for all algebras $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=n$ odd, the commutative rule

$$ae_1e_2\cdots e_n = e_1e_2\cdots e_n a$$

holds for all $a \in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$. Based on this simple fact, we can write all elements of $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=3$ in the form

$$a = a_0 + a_1 e_{[3]}, \tag{2.3.1}$$

where $a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2\}$, or $a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_3\}$, or $a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}\{e_2,\ e_3\}$. From (2.3.1) we can introduce the conjugate of a as follows

$$\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1 e_{123}. \tag{2.3.2}$$

Then it is easy to verify that for all $a,\ b \in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$\overline{\overline{a}} = a, \qquad \overline{a+b} = \overline{a} + \overline{b}, \qquad \overline{ab} = \overline{a}\overline{b}, \qquad \overline{\lambda a} = \overline{a\lambda} = \lambda \overline{a}.$$

In this subsection we combine Eqs.(2.3.1) and (2.3.2) with the results in Subsection 2.2 to establish four universal similarity factorization equalities over $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=3$.

Theorem 2.3.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{3,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\,\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1 e_{[3]}$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2\,\}, \qquad e_{[3]}^2 = -1.$$

Then aI_2 satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{3,0}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} P_{3,0}^{-1} = \phi_{2,0}(a_0) + \phi_{2,0}(a_1)e_{[3]} \equiv \phi_{3,0}(a) \in \mathcal{C}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.3.3}$$

where $\phi_{2,0}(a_t)(t = 0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$ defined in Eq.(2.2.1) and $P_{3,0}$ has the independent form

$$P_{3,0} = P_{3,0}^{-1} = P_{2,0} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1+e_1 & e_2 - e_{12} \\ e_2 + e_{12} & 1 - e_1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.3.4}$$

Proof. Writing aI_2 as $aI_2 = a_0 I_2 + a_1 e_{[3]} I_2$ and multiplying $P_{2,0}$ and $P_{2,0}^{-1}$ on its both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2,0}(aI_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} &= P_{2,0}(a_0 I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} + P_{2,0}(a_1 e_{[3]} I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} \\ &= P_{2,0}(a_0 I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} + P_{2,0}(a_1 I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} e_{[3]} \\ &= \phi_{2,0}(a_0) + \phi_{2,0}(a_1)e_{[3]} = \phi_{3,0}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\phi_{2,0}(a_t) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$ and $e_{[3]}^2 = -1$. Thus (2.3.3) follows. □

Obviously, through the bijective map $\phi_{3,0} : a \in \mathcal{R}_{3,0} \longrightarrow \phi_{3,0}(a) \in \mathcal{C}^{2\times 2}$, the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{3,0}$ is algebraically isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathcal{C}^{2\times 2}$, and $\phi_{3,0}(a)$ is the matrix representation of a in $\mathcal{C}^{2\times 2}$.

Theorem 2.3.2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{2,1} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2,\ \varepsilon_1\,\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1 e$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{1,1} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ \varepsilon_1\,\}, \qquad e = e_1 e_2 \varepsilon_1, \qquad e^2 = 1.$$

Then the diagonal matrix $D_a = \mathrm{diag}(aI_2,\ \overline{a}I_2)$ satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{2,1} D_a P_{2,1}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,1}(a_0) + \phi_{1,1}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{1,1}(a_0) - \phi_{1,1}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{2,1}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.3.5}$$

where $\phi_{1,1}(a_t)(t = 0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$ defined in Eq.(2.2.5), and

$$P_{2,1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e)P_{1,1} & -(1-e)P_{1,1} \\ (1-e)P_{1,1} & (1+e)P_{1,1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{2,1}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} P_{1,1}^{-1}(1+e) & P_{1,1}^{-1}(1-e) \\ -P_{1,1}^{-1}(1-e) & P_{1,1}^{-1}(1+e) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.3.6}$$

$P_{1,1}$ and $P_{1,1}^{-1}$ are given by Eq.(2.2.6).

Proof. Writing aI_2 as $aI_2 = a_0I_2 + a_1eI_2$ and multiplying $P_{1,1}$ and $P_{1,1}^{-1}$ in Eq.(2.2.6) on its both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,1}(aI_2)P_{1,1}^{-1} &= P_{1,1}(a_0I_2)P_{1,1}^{-1} + P_{1,1}(a_1eI_2)P_{1,1}^{-1} \\ &= P_{1,1}(a_0I_2)P_{1,1}^{-1} + P_{1,1}(a_1I_2)P_{1,1}^{-1}e = \phi_{1,1}(a_0) + \phi_{1,1}(a_1)e = \psi(a), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{1,1}(a_t) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}(t = 0,\ 1)$. Here we denote $\overline{\psi}(a) = \phi_{1,1}(a_0) - \phi_{1,1}(a_1)e$. Then $\psi(\overline{a}) = \overline{\psi}(a)$. Note that $e^2 = 1$. Thus by Theorem 2.2.1, we can build a matrix and its inverse as follows

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e)I_2 & -(1-e)I_2 \\ (1-e)I_2 & (1+e)I_2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad V^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e)I_2 & (1-e)I_2 \\ -(1-e)I_2 & (1+e)I_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now applying them to diag$(\,\psi(a),\ \psi(\overline{a})\,)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V\begin{bmatrix} \psi(a) & O \\ O & \psi(\overline{a}) \end{bmatrix}V^{-1} &= V\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,1}(a_0) + \phi_{1,1}(a_1)e & O \\ O & \phi_{1,1}(a_0) + \phi_{1,1}(a_1)e \end{bmatrix}V^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,1}(a_0) + \phi_{1,1}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{1,1}(a_0) - \phi_{1,1}(a_1) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally substituting $\psi(a) = P_{1,1}(aI_2)P_{1,1}^{-1}$ and $\psi(\overline{a}) = P_{1,1}(\overline{a}I_2)P_{1,1}^{-1}$ into the left-hand side of the above equality yields Eq.(2.3.5). □

Theorem 2.3.3. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{1,2} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1e$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{1,1} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ \varepsilon_1\}, \qquad e = e_1\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2, \qquad e^2 = -1.$$

Then aI_2 satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{1,2}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}P_{1,2}^{-1} = \phi_{1,1}(a_0) + \phi_{1,1}(a_1)e \equiv \phi_{1,2}(a) \in \mathcal{C}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.3.7}$$

where $\phi_{1,1}(a_t)(t = 0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$ defined in Eq.(2.2.5), *and*

$$P_{1,2} = P_{1,2}^{-1} = P_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 + e_1 & \varepsilon_1 - e_1\varepsilon_1 \\ -(\varepsilon_1 + e_1\varepsilon_1) & 1 - e_1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.3.8}$$

Proof. Writing $aI_2 = a_0I_2 + a_1eI_2$ and multiplying $P_{1,1}$ and $P_{1,1}^{-1}$ on its both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,1}(aI_2)P_{1,1}^{-1} &= P_{1,1}(a_0I_2)P_{1,1}^{-1} + P_{1,1}(a_1I_2)P_{1,1}^{-1} \\ &= P_{1,1}(a_0I_2)P_{1,1}^{-1} + P_{1,1}(a_1I_2)P_{1,1}^{-1}e = \phi_{1,1}(a_0) + \phi_{1,1}(a_1)e. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\phi_{1,1}(a_t) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$ and $e^2 = -1$. Thus Eq.(2.3.7) follows. □

Theorem 2.3.4. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{0,3} = \mathcal{R}\{\,\varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_3\,\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_{[3]}$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{0,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\,\varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\,\} = \mathcal{H}, \qquad \varepsilon_{[3]}^2 = 1.$$

Then a *and* $\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1\varepsilon_{[3]}$ *satisfy the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{0,3}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{a} \end{bmatrix}P_{0,3}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 - a_1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{0,3}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{H}, \tag{2.3.9}$$

where

$$P_{0,3} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1+\varepsilon_{[3]} & -(1-\varepsilon_{[3]}) \\ 1-\varepsilon_{[3]} & 1+\varepsilon_{[3]} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad P_{0,3}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1+\varepsilon_{[3]} & 1-\varepsilon_{[3]} \\ -(1-\varepsilon_{[3]}) & 1+\varepsilon_{[3]} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.3.10}$$

The derivation of Eq.(2.3.5) is much analogous to that of Eq.(2.1.1). So we omit it here.

2.4. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p + q = 4$

The five algebraic isomorphisms for $\in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q = 4$ are shown in Eq.(1.7). Without much effort we can extend the results in Subsection 2.2 to these five algebras.

Theorem 2.4.1. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{4,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4\,\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1e_{123} + a_2e_{124} + a_3e_{34},$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2\,\},$$

$$e_{123}^2 = -1, \qquad e_{124}^2 = -1, \qquad e_{34} = -e_{123}e_{124} = e_{124}e_{123}.$$

In that case aI_2 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{4,0}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}P_{4,0}^{-1} = \phi_{2,0}(a_0) + \phi_{2,0}(a_1)e_{123} + \phi_{2,0}(a_2)e_{124} + \phi_{2,0}(a_3)e_{34} \equiv \phi_{4,0}(a), \tag{2.4.1}$$

where

$$\phi_{4,0}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}\{\, e_{123},\ e_{124}\,\} = \mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.4.2}$$

$\phi_{2,0}(a_t)(t = 0—3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$ *defined in Eq.*(2.2.1), *and* $P_{4,0} = P_{4,0}^{-1} = P_{2,0}$, *the matrix in Eq.*(2.2.2).

Proof. Note that the commutative rules $be_{[3]} = e_{[3]}b,\ be_{124} = e_{124}b$ and $be_{34} = e_{34}b$ hold for all $b \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2\,\}$. Thus we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{2,0}(aI_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} \\ & = P_{2,0}(a_0I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} + P_{2,0}(a_1I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1}e_{[3]} + P_{2,0}(a_2I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1}e_{124} + P_{2,0}(a_3I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1}e_{34} \\ & = \phi_{2,0}(a_0) + \phi_{2,0}(a_1)e_{123} + \phi_{2,0}(a_2)e_{124} + \phi_{2,0}(a_3)e_{34}, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the result in Eq.(2.4.1). □

Theorem 2.4.2. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{3,1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ \varepsilon_1\,\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1(e_{12}\varepsilon_1) + a_2e_{[3]} + a_3(e_3\varepsilon_1),$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2 \,\},$$

$$(e_{12}\varepsilon_1)^2 = 1, \qquad e_{[3]}^2 = -1, \qquad e_3\varepsilon_1 = (e_{12}\varepsilon_1)e_{[3]} = -e_{[3]}(e_{12}\varepsilon_1).$$

In that case aI_4 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{3,1}(aI_4)P_{3,1}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{2,0}(a_0) + \phi_{2,0}(a_1) & -[\ \phi_{2,0}(a_2) + \phi_{2,0}(a_3)\ ] \\ \phi_{2,0}(a_2) - \phi_{2,0}(a_3) & \phi_{2,0}(a_0) - \phi_{2,0}(a_1) \end{array}\right] \equiv \phi_{3,1}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}, \qquad (2.4.3)$$

where $\phi_{2,0}(a_t)(t = 0$—$3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$ *defined in Eq.*(2.2.1) *and*

$$P_{3,1} = P_{3,1}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} (1 + e_{12}\varepsilon_1)P_{2,0} & (e_{[3]} - e_3\varepsilon_2)P_{2,0} \\ -(e_{[3]} - e_3\varepsilon_1)P_{2,0} & (1 + e_{12}\varepsilon_1)P_{2,0} \end{array}\right], \qquad (2.4.4)$$

where $P_{2,0}$ *is given in Eq.*(2.2.2)*.*

Proof. Note that the following commutative laws $be_{[3]} = e_{[3]}b,\ be_{124} = e_{124}b$ and $be_{34} = e_{34}b$ hold for all $b \in \mathcal{R}_{2,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2 \,\}$. Thus it follows from (2.2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{2,0}(aI_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} \\ = \ & P_{2,0}(a_0I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1} + P_{2,0}(a_1I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1}(e_{12}\varepsilon_1) + P_{2,0}(a_2I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1}e_{[3]} + P_{2,0}(a_3I_2)P_{2,0}^{-1}(e_3\varepsilon_1) \\ = \ & \phi_{2,0}(a_0) + \phi_{2,0}(a_1)(e_{12}\varepsilon_1) + \phi_{2,0}(a_2)e_{[3]} + \phi_{2,0}(a_3)(e_3\varepsilon_1) \\ \equiv \ & \psi(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}\{\, e_{12}\varepsilon_1,\ e_{[3]} \,\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next building a matrix and its inverse from the basis 1, $e_{12}\varepsilon_1,\ e_{[3]}$ and $e_3\varepsilon_1$ as follows

$$V = V^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} (1 + e_{12}\varepsilon_1)I_2 & (e_{[3]} - e_3\varepsilon_1)I_2 \\ -(e_{[3]} + e_3\varepsilon_1)I_2 & (1 - e_{12}\varepsilon_1)I_2 \end{array}\right],$$

and applying them to the matrix $\psi(a)$ given above, we obtain

$$V\left[\begin{array}{cc} \psi(a) & O \\ O & \psi(a) \end{array}\right]V^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{2,0}(a_0) + \phi_{2,0}(a_1) & -[\ \phi_{2,0}(a_2) + \phi_{2,0}(a_3)\ ] \\ \phi_{2,0}(a_2) - \phi_{2,0}(a_3) & \phi_{2,0}(a_0) - \phi_{2,0}(a_1) \end{array}\right] \equiv \phi_{3,1}(a).$$

Finally substituting $\psi(a) = P_{2,0}(aI_2)P_{2,0}^{-1}$ into the left-hand side of the above equality yields Eqs.(2.4.3) and (2.4.4). $\square$

Similarly we have the following.

Theorem 2.4.3. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{2,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2 \,\}$, *the split Clifford algebra. Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1(e_{12}\varepsilon_1) + a_2(e_1\varepsilon_{12}) + a_3(\varepsilon_2e_2),$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{1,1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \varepsilon_1 \,\},$$

$$(e_{12}\varepsilon_1)^2 = 1, \qquad (e_1\varepsilon_{12})^2 = -1, \qquad \varepsilon_2e_2 = (e_{12}\varepsilon_1)(e_1\varepsilon_{12}) = -(e_1\varepsilon_{12})(e_{12}\varepsilon_1).$$

In that case aI_4 satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{2,2}(aI_4)P_{2,2}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,1}(a_0) + \phi_{1,1}(a_1) & -[\ \phi_{1,1}(a_2) + \phi_{1,1}(a_3)\ ] \\ \phi_{1,1}(a_2) - \phi_{1,1}(a_3) & \phi_{1,1}(a_0) - \phi_{1,1}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{2,2}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}, \qquad (2.4.5)$$

where $\phi_{1,1}(a_t)(t = 0—3)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{2\times 2}$ given in (2.2.1) *and*

$$P_{2,2} = P_{2,2}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1 + e_{12}\varepsilon_1)P_{1,1} & (e_1\varepsilon_{12} - e_2\varepsilon_2)P_{1,1} \\ -(e_1\varepsilon_{12} - e_2\varepsilon_2)P_{1,1} & (1 + e_{12}\varepsilon_1)P_{1,1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (2.4.6)$$

where $P_{1,1}$ is given in Eq.(2.2.6)*.*

Theorem 2.4.4. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{1,3} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_3\,\}$. Then a can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_{[3]} + a_2e_1(\varepsilon_{12}) + a_3(e_1\varepsilon_3),$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{0,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\, \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\,\} = \mathcal{H},$$

$$\varepsilon_{[3]}^2 = 1, \qquad (e_1\varepsilon_{12})^2 = -1, \qquad e_1\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_{[3]}(e_1\varepsilon_{12}) = -(e_1\varepsilon_{12})\varepsilon_{[3]}.$$

In that case aI_2 satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{1,3}(aI_2)P_{1,3}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1 & -(a_2 + a_3) \\ a_2 - a_3 & a_0 - a_1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{1,3}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}, \qquad (2.4.7)$$

where

$$P_{1,3} = P_{1,3}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon_{[3]} & e_1\varepsilon_{12} - e_1\varepsilon_3 \\ -(e_1\varepsilon_{12} - e_1\varepsilon_3) & 1 - \varepsilon_{[3]} \end{bmatrix}. \qquad (2.4.8)$$

Proof. Note that the following commutative laws $b\varepsilon_{[3]} = \varepsilon_{[3]}b,\ b(e_1\varepsilon_{12}) = (e_1\varepsilon_{12})b,\ b(e_1\varepsilon_3) = (e_1\varepsilon_3)b$ hold for all $b \in \mathcal{R}_{0,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\, \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\,\}$. Thus by Theorem 2.2.2 we can build the matrix $P_{1,3}$ in Eq.(2.4.8) such that aI_2 satisfies Eq.(2.4.7). □

Theorem 2.4.5. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{0,4} = \mathcal{R}\{\, \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_3,\ \varepsilon_4\,\}$. Then a can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_{123} + a_2\varepsilon_{124} + a_3\varepsilon_{43},$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{0,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\, \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\,\} = \mathcal{H},$$

$$\varepsilon_{123}^2 = 1, \qquad \varepsilon_{124}^2 = 1, \qquad \varepsilon_{43} = \varepsilon_{123}\varepsilon_{124} = -\varepsilon_{124}\varepsilon_{123}.$$

In that case aI_2 satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{0,4}(aI_2)P_{0,4}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1 & a_2 + a_3 \\ a_2 - a_3 & a_0 - a_1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{0,4}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}, \qquad (2.4.9)$$

where

$$P_{0,4} = P_{0,4}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon_{123} & \varepsilon_{124} - \varepsilon_{43} \\ \varepsilon_{124} - \varepsilon_{34} & 1 - \varepsilon_{123} \end{bmatrix}. \qquad (2.4.10)$$

Proof. Follows from the fact $b\varepsilon_{123} = \varepsilon_{123}b,\ b\varepsilon_{124} = e_1\varepsilon_{124}b,\ b\varepsilon_{43} = \varepsilon_{43}b$ for all $b \in \mathcal{R}_{0,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\,\varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\,\}$ and Theorem 2.2.1. $\square$

2.5. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=5$

Just as for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=3$, we can write all elements of $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=5$ in the following form

$$a = a_0 + a_1e_{[5]} = a_0 + e_{[5]}a_1,$$

where $a_0,\ a_1$ are the elements of the Clifford algebras defined on any four generators of $e_1,\ e_2,\ \cdots,\ e_5$. Besides we can also introduce the conjugate of a as follows

$$\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1e_{[5]}.$$

Then it is easy to verify that for all $a,\ b \in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$\overline{\overline{a}} = a, \qquad \overline{a+b} = \overline{a} + \overline{b}, \qquad \overline{ab} = \overline{a}\overline{b}, \qquad \overline{\lambda a} = \overline{a\lambda} = \lambda\overline{a}.$$

According to the table in Eq.(1.4), we know that the six Clifford algebras $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=5$ satisfy the following algebraic isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{R}_{5,0} \ \simeq\ {}^2\mathcal{H}^{2\times2}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{4,1} \ \simeq\ \mathcal{C}^{4\times4}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{3,2} \ \simeq\ {}^2\mathcal{R}^{4\times4}, \tag{2.5.1}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,3} \ \simeq\ \mathcal{C}^{4\times4}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{1,4} \ \simeq\ {}^2\mathcal{H}^{2\times2}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{0,5} \ \simeq\ \mathcal{C}^{4\times4}. \tag{2.5.2}$$

Based on the results in previous subsection we can establish six universal similarity factorization equalities between elements of $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=5$ and matrices of the six matrix algebras in Eqs.(2.5.1) and (2.5.2).

Theorem 2.5.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{5,0} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_5\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1e_{[5]}$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{4,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\,e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4\,\}, \qquad e_{[5]}^2 = 1.$$

Then the diagonal matrix $D_a = \mathrm{diag}(aI_2,\ \overline{a}I_2)$ satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{5,0}D_aP_{5,0}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{4,0}(a_0) + \phi_{4,0}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{4,0}(a_0) - \phi_{4,0}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{5,0}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{H}^{2\times2}, \tag{2.5.3}$$

where $\phi_{4,0}(a_t)(t=0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{H}^{2\times2}$ defined in (2.4.1) *and*

$$P_{5,0} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e_{[5]})P_{4,0} & -(1-e_{[5]})P_{4,0} \\ (1-e_{[5]})P_{4,0} & (1+e_{[5]})P_{4,0} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.5.4}$$

$$P_{5,0}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} P_{4,0}^{-1}(1+e_{[5]}) & P_{4,0}^{-1}(1-e_{[5]}) \\ -P_{4,0}^{-1}(1-e_{[5]}) & P_{4,0}^{-1}(1+e_{[5]}) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.5.5}$$

$P_{4,0}$ is given in Theorem 2.4.1.

Proof. Note that $be_{[5]} = e_{[5]}b$ holds for all $b \in \mathcal{R}_{4,0}$. By applying (2.4.1) to $aI_2 = a_0I_2 + a_1e_{[5]}I_2$, we get

$$P_{4,0}(aI_2)P_{4,0}^{-1} \;=\; P_{4,0}(a_0I_2)P_{4,0}^{-1} + P_{4,0}(a_1I_2)P_{4,0}^{-1}e_{[5]} = \phi_{4,0}(a_0) + \phi_{4,0}(a_1)e_{[5]} = \psi(a),$$

and

$$P_{4,0}(\overline{a}I_2)P_{4,0}^{-1} = \phi_{4,0}(a_0) - \phi_{4,0}(a_1)e_{[5]} = \psi(\overline{a}).$$

By Theorem 2.1.1, we construct a matrix and its inverse as follows

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e_{[5]})I_2 & -(1-e_{[5]})I_2 \\ (1-e_{[5]})I_2 & (1+e_{[5]})I_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e_{[5]})I_2 & (1-e_{[5]})I_2 \\ -(1-e_{[5]})I_2 & -(1+e_{[5]})I_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now applying them to $\operatorname{diag}(\,\psi(a),\ \psi(\overline{a})\,)$ we obtain

$$V\begin{bmatrix} \psi(a) & O \\ O & \psi(\overline{a}) \end{bmatrix}V^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{4,0}(a_0) + \phi_{4,0}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{4,0}(a_0) - \phi_{4,0}(a_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally substituting $\psi(a) = P_{4,0}(aI_2)P_{4,0}^{-1}$ and $\psi(\overline{a}) = P_{4,0}(\overline{a}I_2)P_{4,0}^{-1}$ into the left-hand side of the above equality produces Eq.(2.5.3). □

Theorem 2.5.2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{4,1} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4,\ \varepsilon_1\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1(e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1)$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{3,1} = \mathcal{R}\{\,e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ \varepsilon_1\,\}, \qquad (e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1)^2 = -1.$$

Then aI_4 satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{4,1}(aI_4)P_{4,1}^{-1} = \phi_{3,1}(a_0) + \phi_{3,1}(a_1)(e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1) \equiv \phi_{4,1}(a) \in \mathcal{C}^{4\times 4}, \tag{2.5.6}$$

where $\phi_{3,1}(a_t)(t = 0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}$ given in (2.4.3) *and $P_{4,1} = P_{3,1}$, the matrix given in* (2.4.4)*.*

Proof. Follows directly from Eq.(2.4.3). □

For economizing space, we omit the proofs of all the following several results.

Theorem 2.5.3. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{3,2} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1(e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{2,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\,e_1,\ e_2,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\,\}, \qquad (e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})^2 = 1. \tag{2.5.7}$$

Then the diagonal matrix $D_a = \operatorname{diag}(aI_2,\ \overline{a}I_2)$ satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{3,2}D_aP_{3,2}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{2,2}(a_0) + \phi_{2,2}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{2,2}(a_0) - \phi_{2,2}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{3,2}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}, \tag{2.5.8}$$

where $\phi_{2,2}(a_t)(t = 0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}$ defined in (2.4.5) *and*

$$P_{3,2} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})P_{2,2} & -(1-e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})P_{2,2} \\ (1-e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})P_{2,2} & (1+e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})P_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.5.9}$$

$$P_{3,2}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} P_{2,2}^{-1}(1+e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]}) & P_{2,2}^{-1}(1-e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]}) \\ -P_{2,2}^{-1}(1-e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]}) & P_{2,2}^{-1}(1+e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]}) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.5.10}$$

$P_{2,2}$ and $P_{2,2}^{-1}$ are given in Eq.(2.4.6).

Theorem 2.5.4. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{2,3} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_3\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1(e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]})$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{2,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2 \,\}, \qquad (e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]})^2 = -1.$$

Then aI_4 satisfies the following universal similarity equality

$$P_{2,3}(aI_4)P_{2,3}^{-1} = \phi_{2,2}(a_0) + \phi_{2,2}(a_1)e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]} \equiv \phi_{2,3}(a) \in \mathcal{C}^{4\times 4}, \tag{2.5.11}$$

where $\phi_{2,2}(a_t)(t=0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}$ defined in Eq.(2.4.5) and $P_{2,3} = P_{2,2}$, the matrix given in Eq.(2.4.6).

Theorem 2.5.5. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{1,4} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_3,\ \varepsilon_4\}$, and write a as $a = a_0 + a_1(e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{1,3} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_3 \,\}, \qquad (e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})^2 = 1.$$

Then the diagonal matrix $D_a = \mathrm{diag}(aI_2,\ \overline{a}I_2)$ satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{1,4}D_aP_{1,4}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,3}(a_0)+\phi_{1,3}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{1,3}(a_0)-\phi_{1,3}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{1,4}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}, \tag{2.5.12}$$

where $\phi_{1,3}(a_t)(t=0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}$ defined in Eq.(2.4.7) and

$$P_{1,4} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})P_{1,3} & -(1-e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})P_{1,3} \\ (1-e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})P_{1,3} & (1+e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})P_{1,3} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.5.13}$$

$$P_{1,4}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} P_{1,3}^{-1}(1+e_1\varepsilon_{[4]}) & P_{1,3}^{-1}(1-e_1\varepsilon_{[4]}) \\ -P_{1,3}^{-1}(1-e_1\varepsilon_{[4]}) & P_{1,3}^{-1}(1+e_1\varepsilon_{[4]}) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.5.14}$$

$P_{1,3}$ and $P_{1,3}^{-1}$ are given in Eq.(2.4.8).

Theorem 2.5.6. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{0,5} = \mathcal{R}\{\varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_5\}$. Then a can factor as $a = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_{[5]}$, where*

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{2,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\, \varepsilon_{1234},\ \varepsilon_{1235},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2 \,\}, \qquad \varepsilon_{[5]}^2 = -1.$$

Then there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{0,5}$ over $\mathcal{R}_{0,5}$ such that aI_4 satisfies the following universal similarity equality

$$P_{0,5}(aI_4)P_{0,5}^{-1} = \phi_{2,2}(a_0) + \phi_{2,2}(a_1)\varepsilon_{[5]} \equiv \phi_{0,5}(a) \in \mathcal{C}^{4\times 4}, \tag{2.5.15}$$

where $\phi_{2,2}(a_t)(t=0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}$ defined in Eq.(2.4.5).

2.6. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=6$

According to the table Eq.(1.4), we know that the seven algebraic isomorphisms for the Clifford algebras $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q=6$ are as follows

$$\mathcal{R}_{6,0} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{4\times 4}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{5,1} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{4\times 4}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{4,2} \simeq \mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{3,3} \simeq \mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}, \tag{2.6.1}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,4} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{4\times 4}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{1,5} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{4\times 4}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{0,6} \simeq \mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}. \tag{2.6.2}$$

For economizing space, we omit the proofs of all the results in this subsection.

Theorem 2.6.1. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{6,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_6 \,\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1(e_{[4]}e_5) + a_2(e_{[4]}e_6) + a_3e_{56} = a_0 + (e_{[4]}e_5)a_1 + (e_{[4]}e_6)a_2 + e_{56}a_3,$$

where

$$a_0, \ a_1, \ a_2, \ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{4,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ e_2, \ e_3, \ e_4 \,\}$$

$$(e_{[4]}e_5)^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[4]}e_6)^2 = 1, \qquad e_{56} = (e_{[4]}e_5)(e_{[4]}e_6) = -(e_{[4]}e_6)(e_{[4]}e_5).$$

Moreover, there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{6,0}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{6,0}$ *such that* aI_4 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{6,0}(aI_4)P_{6,0}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{4,0}(a_0) + \phi_{4,0}(a_1) & \phi_{4,0}(a_2) + \phi_{4,0}(a_3) \\ \phi_{4,0}(a_2) - \phi_{4,0}(a_3) & \phi_{4,0}(a_0) - \phi_{4,0}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{6,0}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{4\times 4},$$

where $\phi_{4,0}(a_t)(t = 0\text{—}3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}$ *defined in* (2.4.1).

Theorem 2.6.2. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{5,1} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_5, \ \varepsilon_1\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1e_{[5]} + a_2(e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1) + a_3(e_5\varepsilon_1) = a_0 + e_{[5]}a_1 + (e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1)a_2 + (e_5\varepsilon_1)a_3,$$

where

$$a_0, \ a_1, \ a_2, \ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{4,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ e_2, \ e_3, \ e_4 \,\},$$

$$e_{[5]}^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1)^2 = -1, \qquad e_5\varepsilon_1 = e_{[5]}(e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1) = -(e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1)e_{[5]}.$$

Moreover, there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{5,1}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{5,1}$ *such that* aI_4 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{5,1}(aI_4)P_{5,1}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{4,0}(a_0) + \phi_{4,0}(a_1) & -[\, \phi_{4,0}(a_2) + \phi_{4,0}(a_3) \,] \\ \phi_{4,0}(a_2) - \phi_{4,0}(a_3) & \phi_{4,0}(a_0) - \phi_{4,0}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{5,1}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{4\times 4},$$

where $\phi_{4,0}(a_t)(t = 0\text{—}3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}$ *defined in Eq.*(2.4.1).

Theorem 2.6.3. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{4,2} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_4, \ \varepsilon_1, \ \varepsilon_2\}$.

$$a = a_0 + a_1(e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]}) + a_2(e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1) + a_3(e_4\varepsilon_2) = a_0 + (e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})a_1 + (e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1)a_2 + (e_4\varepsilon_2)a_3,$$

where

$$a_0, \ a_1, \ a_2, \ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{3,1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ e_2, \ e_3, \ \varepsilon_1 \,\},$$

$$(e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})^2 = 1, \quad (e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1)^2 = -1, \qquad e_4\varepsilon_2 = (e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})(e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1) = -(e_{[4]}\varepsilon_1)(e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]}).$$

Morever, there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{4,2}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{4,2}$ *such that* aI_8 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{4,2}(aI_8)P_{4,2}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{3,1}(a_0) + \phi_{3,1}(a_1) & -[\,\phi_{3,1}(a_2) + \phi_{3,1}(a_3)\,] \\ \phi_{3,1}(a_2) - \phi_{3,1}(a_3) & \phi_{3,1}(a_0) - \phi_{3,1}(a_1) \end{array}\right] \equiv \phi_{4,2}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{8\times 8},$$

where $\phi_{3,1}(a_t)(t = 0—3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}$ *defined in Eq.*(2.4.3).

Theorem 2.6.4. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{3,3} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_3\}$. *Then a cn factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1(e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]}) + a_2(e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]}) + a_3(e_3\varepsilon_3) = a_0 + (e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})a_1 + (e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]})a_2 + (e_3\varepsilon_3)a_3,$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{2,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ e_2,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2\,\},$$

$$(e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]})^2 = -1, \qquad e_3\varepsilon_3 = (e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]})(e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]}) = -(e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]})(e_{[3]}\varepsilon_{[2]}).$$

Moreover there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{3,3}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{3,3}$ *such that* aI_8 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{3,3}(aI_8)P_{3,3}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{2,2}(a_0) + \phi_{2,2}(a_1) & -[\phi_{2,2}(a_2) + \phi_{2,2}(a_3)] \\ \phi_{2,2}(a_2) - \phi_{2,2}(a_3) & \phi_{2,2}(a_0) - \phi_{2,2}(a_1) \end{array}\right] \equiv \phi_{3,3}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{8\times 8},$$

where $\phi_{2,2}(a_t)(t = 0—3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}$ *defined in* (2.4.5).

Theorem 2.6.5. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{2,4} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ e_2,\ \ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2,\ \varepsilon_3,\ \varepsilon_4\}$. *Then a can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1(e_1\varepsilon_{[4]}) + a_2(e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]}) + a_3(e_4\varepsilon_2) = a_0 + (e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})a_1 + (e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]})a_2 + (e_4\varepsilon_2)a_3,$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{1,3} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\,\},$$

$$(e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]})^2 = -1, \qquad e_4\varepsilon_2 = (e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})(e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]}) = -(e_{[2]}\varepsilon_{[3]})(e_1\varepsilon_{[4]}).$$

Moreover, there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{2,4}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{2,4}$ *such that* aI_4 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{2,4}(aI_4)P_{2,4}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{1,3}(a_0) + \phi_{1,3}(a_1) & -[\,\phi_{1,3}(a_2) + \phi_{1,3}(a_3)\,] \\ \phi_{1,3}(a_2) - \phi_{1,3}(a_3) & \phi_{1,3}(a_0) - \phi_{1,3}(a_1) \end{array}\right] \equiv \phi_{2,4}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{4\times 4},$$

where $\phi_{1,3}(a_t)(t = 0—3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}$ *defined in Eq.*(2.4.7).

Theorem 2.6.6. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{1,5} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_5\}$. *Then a can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1(e_1\varepsilon_{[4]}) + a_2\varepsilon_{[5]} + a_3(e_1\varepsilon_5) = a_0 + (e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})a_1 + \varepsilon_{[5]}a_2 + (e_1\varepsilon_5)a_3,$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{0,4} = \mathcal{R}\{\,\varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3,\ \varepsilon_4\,\},$$

$$(e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})^2 = 1, \qquad \varepsilon_{[5]}^2 = -1, \qquad e_1\varepsilon_5 = (e_1\varepsilon_{[4]})\varepsilon_{[5]} = -\varepsilon_{[5]}(e_1\varepsilon_{[4]}).$$

Moreover, there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{1,5}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{1,5}$ *such that* aI_4 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{1,5}(aI_4)P_{1,5}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{0,4}(a_0) + \phi_{0,4}(a_1) & -[\phi_{0,4}(a_2) + \phi_{0,4}(a_3)] \\ \phi_{0,4}(a_2) - \phi_{0,6}(a_3) & \phi_{0,4}(a_0) - \phi_{0,4}(a_1) \end{array}\right] \equiv \phi_{1,5}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{4\times 4},$$

where $\phi_{0,4}(a_t)(t = 0\text{—}3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{H}^{2\times 2}$ *defined in Eq.*(2.4.9).

Theorem 2.6.7. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{0,6} = \mathcal{R}\{\varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_6\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1(\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_6) + a_2(\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_5) + a_3\varepsilon_{56} = a_0 + (\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_6)a_1 + (\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_5)a_2 + \varepsilon_{56}a_3,$$

where

$$a_0, \ a_1, \ a_2, \ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{3,1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, \varepsilon_{124}, \ \varepsilon_{134}, \ \varepsilon_{234}, \ \varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_{56} \,\},$$

$$(\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_6)^2 = 1, \quad (\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_5)^2 = 1, \quad \varepsilon_{56} = (\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_6)(\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_5) = -(\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_5)(\varepsilon_{[3]}\varepsilon_6).$$

Moreover, there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{0,6}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{0,6}$ *such that* aI_8 *satisfies the following universal similarity equality*

$$P_{0,6}(aI_8)P_{0,6}^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{3,1}(a_0) + \phi_{3,1}(a_1) & \phi_{3,1}(a_2) + \phi_{3,1}(a_3) \\ \phi_{3,1}(a_2) - \phi_{3,1}(a_3) & \phi_{3,1}(a_0) - \phi_{3,1}(a_1) \end{array}\right] \equiv \phi_{0,6}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{8\times 8},$$

where $\phi_{3,1}(a_t)(t = 0\text{—}3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{4\times 4}$ *defined in Eq.*(2.4.3).

2.7. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p + q = 7$

According to the table (1.4), the eight algebraic isomorphisms for the Clifford algebras $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p + q = 7$ are as follows

$$\mathcal{R}_{7,0} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{8\times 8}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{6,1} \simeq {}^2\mathcal{H}^{4\times 4}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{5,2} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{8\times 8}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{4,3} \simeq {}^2\mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}, \tag{2.7.1}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{3,4} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{8\times 8}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{2,5} \simeq {}^2\mathcal{H}^{4\times 4}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{1,6} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{8\times 8}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{0,7} \simeq {}^2\mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}. \tag{2.7.2}$$

The derivation of the universal similarity equalities between elements of $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p+q = 7$ and the eight matrix algebras are much analogous to those in Subsection 2.5. Here we only present the results for $\mathcal{R}_{7,0}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0,7}$.

Just as for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p + q = 5$, we can decompose $a \in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p + q = 7$ into the following general form

$$a = a_0 + a_1 e_{[7]} = a_0 + e_{[7]}a_1, \tag{2.7.3}$$

where $a_0, \ a_1$ are elements of the Clifford algebras defined on any six generators of $e_1, \ e_2, \ \cdots, \ e_7$. and from this decomposition, we introduce the conjugate of a as follows

$$\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1 e_{[7]}. \tag{2.7.4}$$

Then it is easy to verify that for all $a, \ b \in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$, and $p + q = 7, \ \lambda \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$\overline{\overline{a}} = a, \quad \overline{a + b} = \overline{a} + \overline{b}, \quad \overline{ab} = \overline{a}\overline{b}, \quad \overline{\lambda a} = \overline{a\lambda} = \lambda\overline{a}. \tag{2.7.5}$$

Theorem 2.7.1. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{7,0} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_7\}$, *and write* a *as* $a = a_0 + a_1 e_{[7]}$, *where* $e_{[7]}^2 = -1$, *and*

$$a_0, \ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{4,2} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ e_2, \ e_3, \ e_4, \ e_{[5]}e_6, \ e_{[5]}e_7 \,\}.$$

Then there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{7,0}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{7,0}$ *such that* aI_8 *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{7,0}(aI_8)P_{7,0}^{-1} = \phi_{4,2}(a_0) + \phi_{4,2}(a_1)e_{[7]} \equiv \phi_{7,0}(a) \in \mathcal{C}^{8\times 8},$$

where $\phi_{4,2}(a_t)(t = 0, \ 1)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}$ *defined in Theorem 2.6.3.*

Theorem 2.7.2. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{0,7} = \mathcal{R}\{\varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_7\}$, *and write* a *as* $a = a_0 + a_1\varepsilon_{[7]}$, *where* $\varepsilon_{[7]}^2 = 1$, *and*

$$a_0, \ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{0,6} = \mathcal{R}\{\, \varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_6 \,\}.$$

Then there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{0,7}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{0,7}$ *such that the diagonal matrix* $D_a = \mathrm{diag}(aI_8, \ \overline{a}I_8)$ *satisfies the following similarity equality*

$$P_{0,7}D_aP_{0,7}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{0,6}(a_0) + \phi_{0,6}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{0,6}(a_0) - \phi_{0,6}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{0,7}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{R}^{8\times 8},$$

where $\phi_{0,6}(a_t)(t = 0, \ 1)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}$ *defined in Theorem 2.6.7.*

2.8. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ with $p + q = 8$

Just as in subsection 2.7, we only examine the two particular cases over $\mathcal{R}_{8,0}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0,8}$.

Theorem 2.8.1. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{8,0} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_8\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1e_{4567} + a_2e_{4568} + a_3e_{78} = a_0 + e_{4567}a_1 + e_{4568}a_2 + e_{78}a_3,$$

where

$$a_t \in \mathcal{R}_{3,3} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1, \ e_2, \ e_3, \ e_{[3]}e_{478}, \ e_{[3]}e_{578}, \ e_{[3]}e_{678} \mid 1, \ 1, \ 1, \ -1 - 1, \ -1\},$$

$$e_{4567}^2 = 1, \qquad e_{4568}^2 = 1, \qquad e_{78} = (e_{4567})(e_{4568}) = -(e_{4568})(e_{4567}),$$

$t = 0$—3. *In that case, there is an independent invertible matrix* $P_{8,0}$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{8,0}$ *such that* aI_{16} *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{8,0}(aI_{16})P_{8,0}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{3,3}(a_0) + \phi_{3,3}(a_1) & \phi_{3,3}(a_2) + \phi_{3,3}(a_3) \\ \phi_{3,3}(a_2) - \phi_{3,3}(a_3) & \phi_{3,3}(a_0) - \phi_{3,3}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{8,0}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{16\times 16},$$

where $\phi_{3,3}(a_t)(t = 0$—$3)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}$ *defined in Theorem 2.6.4.*

Theorem 2.8.2. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{0,8} = \mathcal{R}\{\varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_8\}$, *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1(\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_8) + a_2(\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_7) + a_3\varepsilon_{78} = a_0 + (\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_8)a_1 + (\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_7)a_2 + \varepsilon_{78}a_3$$

where

$$a_0, \ a_1, \ a_2, \ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{0,6} = \mathcal{R}\{\, \varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_6 \,\},$$

and

$$(\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_8)^2 = 1, \qquad (\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_7)^2 = 1, \qquad \varepsilon_{78} = (\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_8)(\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_7) = -(\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_7)(\varepsilon_{[6]}\varepsilon_8).$$

In that case, there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{0,8}$ over $\mathcal{R}_{0,8}$ such that aI_{16} satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{0,8}(aI_{16})P_{0,8}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{0,6}(a_0) + \phi_{0,6}(a_1) & \phi_{0,6}(a_2) + \phi_{0,6}(a_3) \\ \phi_{0,6}(a_2) - \phi_{0,6}(a_3) & \phi_{0,6}(a_0) - \phi_{0,6}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \equiv \phi_{0,8}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{16\times 16},$$

where $\phi_{0,6}(a_t)(t = 0$—$3)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{8\times 8}$ defined in Theorem 2.6.7.

3. UNIVERSAL SIMILARITY EQUALITIES OVER $\mathcal{R}_{n+p,n}$ AND $\mathcal{R}_{n,n+q}$ WITH $0 \leq p, q \leq 6$

On the basis of the results in Section 2, we present in this section several induction formulas for the universal similarity factorization equalities over $\mathcal{R}_{n+p,n}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{n,n+q}$ with $0 \leq p, q \leq 6$.

3.1. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{n,n}$

We have shown in Theorems 2.2.2, 2.4.3 and 2.6.4 that for all $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n,n}$ with $n = 1, 2, 3$, the corresponding aI_2, aI_4, aI_8 are uniformly similar to their real matrix representations, respectively. By induction, we can establish the following general result for the split Clifford algebra $\mathcal{R}_{n,n}$.

Theorem 3.1.1. *Suppose that there is an independent invertible matrix $P_{n-1,n-1}(n \geq 1)$ over $\mathcal{R}_{n-1,n-1} = \mathcal{R}\{ e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}, \varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \}$ such that*

$$P_{n-1,n-1}(aI_{2^{n-1}})P_{n-1,n-1}^{-1} \equiv \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2^{n-1}\times 2^{n-1}} \tag{3.1.1}$$

holds for all $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1,n-1}$. Now let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n,n} = \mathcal{R}\{ e_1, \cdots, e_n, \varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_n \}$. Then it can factor as

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_0 + a_1(e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) + a_2(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) + a_3\mu_{n,n} \\ &= a_0 + (e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})a_1 + (e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]})a_2 + \mu_{n,n}a_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1,n-1} = \mathcal{R}\{ e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}, \varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \},$$

$$(e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]})^2 = -1,$$

$$\mu_{n,n} = (e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) = -(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]})(e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) = (-1)^{n-1}e_n\varepsilon_n.$$

In that case, aI_{2^n} satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{n,n}(aI_{2^n})P_{n,n}^{-1} \\ &\quad = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_0) + \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_1) & -[\, \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_2) + \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_3) \,] \\ \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_2) - \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_3) & \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_0) - \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \equiv \phi_{n,n}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2^n\times 2^n}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.2}$$

where

$$P_{n,n} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} (1+e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})P_{n-1,n-1} & (e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]} - \mu_{n,n})P_{n-1,n-1} \\ -(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]} + \mu_{n,n})P_{n-1,n-1} & (1-e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})P_{n-1,n-1} \end{array}\right], \tag{3.1.3}$$

$$P^{-1}_{n,n} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} P^{-1}_{n-1,n-1}(1+e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) & P^{-1}_{n-1,n-1}(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]} - \mu_{n,n}) \\ -P^{-1}_{n-1,n-1}(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]} + \mu_{n,n}) & P^{-1}_{n-1,n-1}(1-e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) \end{array}\right]. \tag{3.1.4}$$

Proof. Applying Eq.(3.1.1) to $aI_{2^{n-1}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n-1,n-1}(aI_{2^{n-1}})P^{-1}_{n-1,n-1} &= \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_0) + \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_1)(e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) \\ &\quad + \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_2)(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) + \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_3)\mu_{n,n} \equiv \psi(a). \end{aligned}$$

Next setting

$$V = V^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} (1+e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})I_{2^{n-1}} & (e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]} - \mu_{n,n})I_{2^{n-1}} \\ -(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n]} + \mu_{n,n})I_{2^{n-1}} & (1-e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})I_{2^{n-1}} \end{array}\right].$$

and applying it to $D_a = \mathrm{diag}(\psi(a),\ \psi(a))$, we find

$$VD_aV^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_0) + \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_1) & -[\,\phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_2) + \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_3)\,] \\ \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_2) - \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_3) & \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_0) - \phi_{n-1,n-1}(a_1) \end{array}\right].$$

Finally substituting $\psi(a) = P_{n-1,n-1}(aI_{2^{n-1}})P^{-1}_{n-1,n-1}$ into its left-hand side yields the desired result. □

3.2. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{n+1,n}$

For $\mathcal{R}_{n+1,n}$ with $n = 0,\ 1,\ 2$, we have the corresponding universal similarity factorization equalities in Theorems 2.1.1, 2.2.2 and 2.3.3. In general, we have the following.

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+1},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_n \,\}$ be given. Then a can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1 e = a_0 + e a_1,$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{n,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_n,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_n \,\},$$

$$e = e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]}, \qquad e^2 = 1.$$

Moreover define $\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1 e$. In that case, $D_a = \mathrm{diag}(\, aI_{2^n},\ \overline{a}I_{2^n})$ satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{n+1,n}D_aP^{-1}_{n+1,n} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \phi_{n,n}(a_0) + \phi_{n,n}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{n,n}(a_0) - \phi_{n,n}(a_1) \end{array}\right] \equiv \phi_{n+1,n}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{R}^{2^n \times 2^n},$$

where $\phi_{n,n}(a_t)(t = 0,\ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{R}^{2^n \times 2^n}$ defined in Eq.(3.1.3) and

$$P_{n+1,n} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} (1+e)P_{n,n} & -(1-e)P_{n,n} \\ (1-e)P_{n,n} & (1+e)P_{n,n} \end{array}\right], \quad P^{-1}_{n+1,n} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} P^{-1}_{n,n}(1+e) & P^{-1}_{n,n}(1-e) \\ -P^{-1}_{n,n}(1-e) & P^{-1}_{n,n}(1+e) \end{array}\right],$$

$P_{n,n}$ *and* $P_{n,n}^{-1}$ *are the matrices in Eqs.*(3.1.4) *and* (3.1.5).

Proof. Applying Eq.(3.1.3) to $aI_{2^n} = a_0 I_{2^n} + a_1 e I_{2^n}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,n}(aI_{2^n})P_{n,n}^{-1} &= P_{n,n}(aI_{2^n})P_{n,n}^{-1} + P_{n,n}(a_1 I_{2^n})P_{n,n}^{-1}e \\ &= \phi_{n,n}(a_0) + \phi_{n,n}(a_1)e \equiv \psi(a). \end{aligned}$$

Next setting

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e)I_{2^n} & -(1-e)I_{2^n} \\ (1-e)I_{2^n} & (1+e)I_{2^n} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad V^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1+e)I_{2^n} & (1-e)I_{2^n} \\ -(1-e)I_{2^n} & (1+e)I_{2^n} \end{bmatrix},$$

and applying them to $D_a = \operatorname{diag}(\, \psi(a),\ \psi(\overline{a})\,)$, we get

$$V\begin{bmatrix} \psi(a) & O \\ O & \psi(\overline{a}) \end{bmatrix}V^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n,n}(a_0) + \phi_{n,n}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{n,n}(a_0) - \phi_{n,n}(a_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally substituting $\psi(a) = P_{n,n}(aI_{2^n})P_{n,n}^{-1}$ and $\psi(\overline{a}) = P_{n,n}(\overline{a}I_{2^n})P_{n,n}^{-1}$ into its left-hand side yields the desired result. □

3.3. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{n+2,n}$

For $\mathcal{R}_{n+2,n}$ with $n = 0,\ 1,\ 2$, we have the corresponding universal similarity equalities in Theorems 2.2.1, 2.4.2 and 2.6.3. In general, we have the following.

Theorem 3.3.1. *Suppose that there is an independent invertible matrix* $P_{n+1,n-1}(n \geq 1)$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{n+1,n-1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+1},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_{n-1}\,\}$ *such that*

$$P_{n+1,n-1}(aI_{2^n})P_{n+1,n-1}^{-1} \equiv \phi_{n+1,n-1}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2^n \times 2^n}$$

holds for all $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1,n-1}$*.Now let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+2,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+2},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_n\,\}$*. Then* a *can factor as*

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_0 + a_1(e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) + a_2(e_{[n+2]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) + a_3\mu_{n+2,n} \\ &= a_0 + (e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]})a_1 + (e_{[n+2]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})a_2 + \mu_{n+2,n}a_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1,n-1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+1},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_{n-1}\,\},$$

$$(e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]})^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[n+2]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})^2 = -1,$$

$$\mu_{n+2,n} = (e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]})(e_{[n+2]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) = -(e_{[n+2]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})(e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) = (-1)^{n+2}e_{n+2}\varepsilon_n.$$

In that case, $aI_{2^{n+1}}$ *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{n+2,n}(aI_{2^{n+1}})P_{n+2,n}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n+1,n-1}(a_0) + \phi_{n+1,n-1}(a_1) & -[\,\phi_{n+1,n-1}(a_2) + \phi_{n+1,n-1}(a_3)\,] \\ \phi_{n+1,n-1}(a_2) - \phi_{n+1,n-1}(a_3) & \phi_{n+1,n-1}(a_0) - \phi_{n+1,n-1}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\equiv \phi_{n+2,n}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_{n+2,n} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} (1+e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]})P_{n+1,n-1} & (e_{[n+2]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]} - \mu_{n+2,n})P_{n+1,n-1} \\ -(e_{[n+2]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]} + \mu_{n+2,n})P_{n+1,n-1} & (1-e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]})P_{n+1,n-1} \end{array}\right],$$

$$P^{-1}_{n+2,n} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} P^{-1}_{n+1,n-1}(1+e_{[n]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) & P^{-1}_{n+1,n-1}(e_{[n-1]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]} - \mu_{n+2,n}) \\ -P^{-1}_{n+1,n-1}(e_{[n+2]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]} + \mu_{n+2,n}) & P^{-1}_{n+1,n-1}(1-e_{[n+1]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) \end{array}\right].$$

The proof of this result is much analogous to that of Theorem 3.1.1. so we omit it here, and the proofs of next several results are also omitted .

3.4. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{n+3,n}$

For $\mathcal{R}_{n+3,n}$ with $n = 0,\ 1$, we have the corresponding universal similarity factorization equalities in Theorems 2.3.1 and 2.5.2. In general, we have the following.

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+3,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+3},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_n \,\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1 e = a_0 + e a_1,$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{n+2,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+2},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_n \,\},$$
$$e = e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]}, \qquad e^2 = -1.$$

In that case, $aI_{2^{n+1}}$ *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{n+3,n}(aI_{2^{n+1}})P^{-1}_{n+3,n} = \phi_{n+2,n}(a_0) + \phi_{n+2,n}(a_1) \equiv \phi_{n+3,n}(a) \in\ \mathcal{C}^{2^{n+1}\times 2^{n+1}},$$

where $\phi_{n+2,n}(a_t)(t = 0,\ 1)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_t *in* $\mathcal{R}^{2^n\times 2^n}$ *defined in Theorem 3.3.1 and* $P_{n+3,n} = P_{n+2,n}$*, the matrix given in Theorem 3.3.1.*

3.5. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{n+4,n}$

For $\mathcal{R}_{n+4,n}$ with $n = 0,\ 1$, we have the corresponding universal similarity factorization equalities in Theorems 2.4.1 and 2.6.2. In general, we have the following.

Theorem 3.5.1. *Suppose that there is an independent invertible matrix* $P_{n+3,n-1}(n \geq 1)$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{n+3,n-1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+3},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_{n-1} \,\}$ *such that*

$$P_{n+3,n-1}(aI_{2^n})P^{-1}_{n+3,n-1} \equiv \phi_{n+3,n-1}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{2^n\times 2^n}$$

holds for all $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+3,n-1}$. *Now let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+4,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+4},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_n \,\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_0 + a_1(e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) + a_2(e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) + a_3\mu_{n+4,n} \\ &= a_0 + (e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})a_1 + (e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]})a_2 + \mu_{n+4,n}a_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{n+3,n-1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{n+3},\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_{n-1} \,\},$$

$$(e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]})^2 = -1,$$

$$\mu_{n+4,n} = (e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})(e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) = -(e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]})(e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) = (-1)^{n+3}e_{n+4}\varepsilon_n.$$

In that case, $aI_{2^{n+1}}$ satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{n+2,n}(aI_{2^{n+1}})P_{n+2,n}^{-1} \\ = & \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n+3,n-1}(a_0) + \phi_{n+3,n-1}(a_1) & -[\,\phi_{n+3,n-1}(a_2) + \phi_{n+3,n-1}(a_3)\,] \\ \phi_{n+3,n-1}(a_2) - \phi_{n+3,n-1}(a_3) & \phi_{n+3,n-1}(a_0) - \phi_{n+3,n-1}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ \equiv & \ \ \phi_{n+4,n}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{2^{n+1}\times 2^{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_{n+4,n} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1 + e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})P_{n+3,n-1} & (e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]} - \mu_{n+4,n})P_{n+3,n-1} \\ -(e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]} + \mu_{n+4,n})P_{n+3,n-1} & (1 - e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})P_{n+3,n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{n+4,n}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} P_{n+3,n-1}^{-1}(1 + e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) & P_{n+3,n-1}^{-1}(e_{[n+3]}\varepsilon_{[n]} - \mu_{n+4,n}) \\ -P_{n+3,n-1}^{-1}(e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n]} + \mu_{n+4,n}) & P_{n+3,n-1}^{-1}(1 - e_{[n+4]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

3.6. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{n+5,n}$

For $\mathcal{R}_{n+5,n}$ with $n = 0$, we have the corresponding universal similarity factorization equality in Theorem 2.5.1. In general, we have the following.

Theorem 3.6.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+5,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_{n+5}, \ \varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_n \,\}$. Then a can factor as*

$$a = a_0 + a_1 e = a_0 + e a_1,$$

where

$$a_0, \ a_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{n+4,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_{n+4}, \ \varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_n \,\},$$

$$e = e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]}, \qquad e^2 = (e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]})^2 = 1.$$

Moreover define $\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1 e$. In that case, $D_a = \mathrm{diag}(\, aI_{2^n}, \ \overline{a}I_{2^n})$ satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+5,n}D_aP_{n+5,n}^{-1} \ & = \ \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n+4,n}(a_0) + \phi_{n+4,n}(a_1) & O \\ O & \phi_{n+4,n}(a_0) - \phi_{n+4,n}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ & \equiv \ \ \phi_{n+5,n}(a) \in {}^2\mathcal{H}^{2^{n+1}\times 2^{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{n+4,n}(a_t)(t = 0, \ 1)$ is the matrix representation of a_t in $\mathcal{H}^{2^n\times 2^n}$ defined in Theorem 3.5.1 and

$$P_{n+5,n} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1 + e)P_{n+4,n} & -(1 - e)P_{n+4,n} \\ (1 - e)P_{n+4,n} & (1 + e)P_{n+4,n} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{n+5,n}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} P_{n+4,n}^{-1}(1 + e) & P_{n+4,n}^{-1}(1 - e) \\ -P_{n+4,n}^{-1}(1 - e) & P_{n+4,n}^{-1}(1 + e) \end{bmatrix},$$

$P_{n+4,n}$ and $P_{n+4,n}^{-1}$ are the matrices given in Theorem 3.5.1.

3.7. The Cases for $\mathcal{R}_{n+6,n}$

For $\mathcal{R}_{n+6,n}$ with $n=0$, we have the corresponding universal similarity factorization equality in Theorem 2.6.1. In general, we have the following.

Theorem 3.7.1. *Suppose that there is an independent invertible matrix* $P_{n+5,n-1}(n \geq 1)$ *over* $\mathcal{R}_{n+5,n-1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_{n+5}, \ \varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_{n-1} \,\}$ *such that*

$$P_{n+5,n-1}(aI_{2^{n+1}})P_{n+5,n-1}^{-1} \equiv \phi_{n+5,n-1}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{2^{n+1}\times 2^{n+1}}$$

holds for all $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+5,n-1}$. *Now let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{n+6,n} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_{n+6}, \ \varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_n \,\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_0 + a_1(e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) + a_2(e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) + a_3\mu_{n+6,n} \\ &= a_0 + (e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]})a_1 + (e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})a_2 + \mu_{n+6,n}a_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_0, \ a_1, \ a_2, \ a_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{n+5,n-1} = \mathcal{R}\{\, e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_{n+5}, \ \varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_{n-1} \,\},$$

$$(e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]})^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})^2 = -1,$$

$$\mu_{n+6,n} = (e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]})(e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]}) = -(e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]})(e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) = (-1)^{n+5}e_{n+6}\varepsilon_n.$$

In that case, $aI_{2^{n+2}}$ *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{n+6,n}(aI_{2^{n+2}})P_{n+6,n}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n+5,n-1}(a_0) + \phi_{n+5,n-1}(a_1) & -[\,\phi_{n+5,n-1}(a_2) + \phi_{n+5,n-1}(a_3)\,] \\ \phi_{n+5,n-1}(a_2) - \phi_{n+5,n-1}(a_3) & \phi_{n+5,n-1}(a_0) - \phi_{n+5,n-1}(a_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\equiv \phi_{n+6,n}(a) \in \mathcal{H}^{2^{n+2}\times 2^{n+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_{n+6,n} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} (1 + e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]})P_{n+5,n-1} & (e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]} - \mu_{n+6,n})P_{n+5,n-1} \\ -(e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]} + \mu_{n+6,n})P_{n+5,n-1} & (1 - e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]})P_{n+5,n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{n+6,n}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} P_{n+5,n-1}^{-1}(1 + e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) & P_{n+5,n-1}^{-1}(e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]} - \mu_{n+6,n}) \\ -P_{n+5,n-1}^{-1}(e_{[n+6]}\varepsilon_{[n-1]} + \mu_{n+6,n}) & P_{n+5,n-1}^{-1}(1 - e_{[n+5]}\varepsilon_{[n]}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the same manner it is not difficult to give the induction formulas for the universal similarity factorization equalities over $\mathcal{R}_{n,n+q}$ with $1 \leq q \leq 6$, we leave them to the reader. As to $\mathcal{R}_{n+7,n}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{n,n+7}$ with $n=0$, the corresponding universal similarity factorization equalities have been given in Subsection 2.7, the general results corresponding to nonzero n will be included in the next two sections.

4. UNIVERSAL SIMILARITY EQUALITIES OVER $\mathcal{R}_{p+8,0}$ AND $\mathcal{R}_{0,q+8}$

According to the two formulas in (1.9), we know that

$$\mathcal{R}_{p+8,0} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{p,0}^{16\times 16}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{0,q+8} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{0,q}^{16\times 16}$$

hold for all finite p and q. Now applying the theorems in Subsection 2.8, we have the following two general results on the universal similarity factorization equalities over $\mathcal{R}_{p+8,0}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0,q+8}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let*

$$a \in \mathcal{R}_{p+8,0} = \mathcal{R}\{e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_8, \ \alpha_1, \ \cdots, \ \alpha_p \mid e_i^2 = \alpha_j^2 = 1, \ i = 1, \ \cdots, \ 8, \ j = 1, \ \cdots, \ p\}.$$

Then a can factor as

$$a = \sum_A a_A(e_{[8]}\alpha)_A \in \mathcal{R}_{8,0}\{ e_{[8]}\alpha_1, \ \cdots, \ e_{[8]}\alpha_p \mid (e_{[8]}\alpha_j)^2 = 1, \ j = 1, \ \cdots, \ p \},$$

where $A = (\, j_1, \ j_2, \ \cdots, \ j_k\,)$ with $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq p$ ranging all naturally ordered subsets of $\{1, \ 2, \ \cdots, \ p\}$; a_A has the form

$$a_A \in \mathcal{R}_{8,0} = \mathcal{R}\{ e_1, \ \cdots, \ e_8 \};$$

$(e_{[8]}\alpha)_A$ is defined to be

$$(e_{[8]}\alpha)_A = (e_{[8]}\alpha)_{(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_k)} \equiv (e_{[8]}\alpha_{j_1})(e_{[8]}\alpha_{j_2}) \cdots (e_{[8]}\alpha_{j_p}), \qquad (e_{[8]}\alpha)_{A=\emptyset} \equiv e_{[8]};$$

both of which satisfy

$$a_A(e_{[8]}\alpha)_A = (e_{[8]}\alpha)_A a_A.$$

In that case, aI_{16} satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{8,0}(aI_{16})P_{8,0}^{-1} = \sum_A \phi_{8,0}(a_A)(e_{[8]}\alpha)_A \equiv \phi_{p+8,0}(a), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\phi_{8,0}(a_A)$ is the matrix representation of a_A in $\mathcal{R}^{16\times16}$ defined in Theorem 2.8.1, $P_{8,0}$ is the independent invertible matrix mentioned in Theorem 2.8.1, meanwhile,

$$\phi_{p+8,0}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{16\times16}\left\{ e_{[8]}\alpha_1, \ \cdots, \ e_{[8]}\alpha_p \mid (e_{[8]}\alpha_j)^2 = 1, \ j = 1, \ \cdots, \ p \right\} = \mathcal{R}_{p,0}^{16\times16}, \tag{4.2}$$

called the matrix representation of $a \in \mathcal{R}_{p+8,0}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{p,0}^{16\times16}$.

If $1 \leq p \leq 8$ in $\mathcal{R}_{p+8,0}$, applying the results in Section 2 to the 16×16 matrix $\phi_{p+8,0}(a)$ in Eq.(4.1) we can establish a universal similarity factorization equality between elements of $\mathcal{R}_{p+8,0}$ and matrices with elements in $\mathcal{R}$, or $\mathcal{C}$, or $\mathcal{H}$.

Similarly we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let*

$$a \in \mathcal{R}_{0,q+8} = \mathcal{R}\{\tau_1, \ \cdots, \ \tau_8, \ \varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \varepsilon_q \mid \tau_i^2 = \varepsilon_j^2 = -1, \ i = 1, \ \cdots, \ 8, \ j = 1, \ \cdots, \ q\}.$$

Then a can factor as

$$a = \sum_A a_A(\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon)_A \in \mathcal{R}_{0,8}\{\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_1, \ \cdots, \ \tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_q \mid (\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_j)^2 = -1, \ j = 1, \ \cdots, \ q\},$$

where $A = (\, j_1,\ j_2,\ \cdots,\ j_k\,)$ *with* $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq q$ *ranges all naturally ordered subsets of* $\{1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ q\}$; $(\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon)_A$ *and* a_A *are*

$$(\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon)_A = (\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon)_{(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_k)} \equiv (\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_{j_1})(\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_{j_2})\cdots(\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_{j_k}), \qquad (\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon)_{A=\emptyset} \equiv \tau_{[8]},$$

$$a_A \in \mathcal{R}_{0,8} = \mathcal{R}\{\,\tau_1,\ \cdots,\ \tau_8,\ \mid \tau_i^2 = -1,\ i = 1,\ \cdots,\ 8\,\},$$

and both of them satisfy

$$a_A(\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon)_A = (\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon)_A a_A.$$

In that case, aI_{16} *satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality*

$$P_{0,8}(aI_{16})P_{0,8}^{-1} = \sum_A \phi_{0,8}(a_A)(\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon)_A \equiv \phi_{0,q+8}(a), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\phi_{0,8}(a_A)$ *is the matrix representation of* a_A *in* $\mathcal{R}^{16\times 16}$ *defined in Theorem 2.8.2,* $P_{0,8}$ *is the independent invertible matrix mentioned in Theorem 2.8.2, meanwhile,*

$$\phi_{0,q+8}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{16\times 16}\left\{\,\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_q \mid (\tau_{[8]}\varepsilon_j)^2 = -1,\ j = 1,\ \cdots,\ q\,\right\} = \mathcal{R}_{0,q}^{16\times 16}, \tag{4.4}$$

called the matrix representation of $a \in \mathcal{R}_{0,q+8}$ *in* $\mathcal{R}_{0,q}^{16\times 16}$.

If $1 \leq q \leq 8$ in $\mathcal{R}_{0,q+8}$, then by applying the results in Section 2 to the 16×16 matrix $\phi_{0,q+8}(a)$ in Eq.(4.3) we can establish a universal similarity factorization equality between elements of $\mathcal{R}_{0,q+8}$ and matrices over $\mathcal{R}$ or $\mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{H}$.

5. UNIVERSAL SIMILARITY EQUALITIES OVER $\mathcal{R}_{p+8,q}$ AND $\mathcal{R}_{p,q+8}$

For the two algebraic isomorphisms in Eq.(1.8), we have the following two general results.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $a \in \mathcal{R}_{p+8,q} = \mathcal{R}\{\,e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_8,\ \alpha_1,\ \cdots,\ \ \alpha_p,\ \varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ \varepsilon_q \mid e_i^2 = \alpha_j^2 = -\varepsilon_k^2 = 1,\ i = 1,\ \cdots,\ 8,\ j = 1,\ \cdots,\ p,\ k = 1,\ \cdots,\ q\,\}$. *Then* a *can factor as*

$$a = \sum_A a_A(e_{[8]}\alpha\varepsilon)_A \in \mathcal{R}_{8,0}\{\ e_{[8]}\alpha_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{[8]}\alpha_p,\ e_{[8]}\varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{[8]}\varepsilon_q\ \},$$

where

$$(e_{[8]}\alpha_j)^2 = 1, \qquad (e_{[8]}\varepsilon_k)^2 = -1, \qquad j = 1,\ \cdots,\ \ p, \qquad k = 1,\ \cdots,\ q;$$

$A = (\,A_1,\ A_2\,)$ *is the combination of the two ordered multiindices* A_1 *and* A_2

$$A_1 = (\,j_1,\ j_2,\ \cdots,\ j_s\,), \qquad A_2 = (\,k_1,\ k_2,\ \cdots,\ k_t\,),$$

with $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_s \leq p$ *and* $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_t \leq q$ *ranging all natually ordered subsets of* $\{1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ p\}$ *and* $\{1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ q\}$, *respectively*;

$$(e_{[8]}\alpha\varepsilon)_A \equiv (e_{[8]}\alpha)_{A_1}(e_{[8]}\varepsilon)_{A_2} = (e_{[8]}\alpha_{j_1})(e_{[8]}\alpha_{j_2})\cdots(e_{[8]}\alpha_{j_s})(e_{[8]}\varepsilon_{k_1})(e_{[8]}\varepsilon_{k_2})\cdots(e_{[8]}\varepsilon_{k_t}),$$

$$(e_{[8]}\alpha\varepsilon)_{A=\emptyset} \equiv e_{[8]},$$

$$a_A \in \mathcal{R}_{8,0} = \mathcal{R}\{\,e_1,\ \cdots,\ e_8 \mid e_i^2 = 1,\ i = 1,\ \cdots,\ 8\,\},$$

with

$$a_A(e_{[8]}\alpha\varepsilon)_A = (e_{[8]}\alpha\varepsilon)_A a_A$$

always holding. In that case, aI_{16} satisfies the following universal similarity factorization equality

$$P_{8,0}(aI_{16})P_{8,0}^{-1} = \sum_A \phi_{8,0}(a_A)(e_{[8]}\alpha\varepsilon)_A \equiv \phi_{p+8,q}(a), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\phi_{8,0}(a_A)$ is the matrix representation of a_A in $\mathcal{R}^{16\times 16}$ defined in Theorem 2.8.1, $P_{8,0}$ is the independent invertible matrix mentioned in Theorem 2.8.1, meanwhile,

$$\phi_{p+8,q}(a) \in \mathcal{R}^{16\times 16}\{\, e_{[8]}\alpha_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{[8]}\alpha_p,\ e_{[8]}\varepsilon_1,\ \cdots,\ e_{[8]}\varepsilon_q\} = \mathcal{R}_{p,q}^{16\times 16}. \tag{5.2}$$

If $1 \leq p \leq 8$ and $1 \leq q \leq 8$ a in $\mathcal{R}_{p+8,q}$, then by applying the results in Section 2 to the 16×16 matrix $\phi_{p+8,q}(a)$ in Eq.(5.1) we can establish a universal similarity factorization equality between elements of $\mathcal{R}_{p+8,q}$ and matrices over $\mathcal{R}$ or $\mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{H}$.

The result for $\mathcal{R}_{p,q+8}$ is much similar to that of Theorem 5.1, so we omit it here.

References

[1] G. N. Hile and P. Lounesto, Matrix representations of Clifford algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 128(1990), 51-63.

[2] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Matrix analysis, Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1985.

[3] M. Karobi, K-Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

[4] T. Y. Lam, The Algebraic Theory of Quadratic Forms, W. A. Benjamin, Reading, Mass., 1973.

[5] D. Li, C. P. Poole,Jr and H. A. Farach, A general method of generating and classifying Clifford algebras, J. Math. Phys. 27(1986), 1173-1180.

[6] M. Marcus, Finite Dimensional Multilinear Algebra(Part II), Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1975.

[7] S. Okubo, Real representations of finite Clifford algebras. I. classification, J. Math. Phys. 32(1991), 1657-1668.

[8] S. Okubo, Real representations of finite Clifford algebras. II. explicit construction and pseudo-octonion, J. Math. Phys. 32(1991), 1669-1673.

[9] S. Okubo, Representations of Clifford algebras and its applications, Math. Japn. 41(1995) 59.

[10] I. R. Porteous, Topological Geometry, Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1981.

[11] I. R. Porteous, Clifford Algebras and the Classical Groups, Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1995.